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MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA
AGENDA
1. Roll Call
2. Public Comment
3. For Possible Action: Acceptance and Approval of
   Public Works Board Meeting Minutes: July 10, 2019
4. For Possible Action: Resignation of Board Chairperson Bryce Clutts
5. For Possible Action: Election of Chairperson and
   Vice-Chairperson
6. For Possible Action: Staff Report on Contractor
7. Qualification Process
8. Administrator's Report on Agency Activities
9. Board Comment and Discussion
10. Public Comment
11. Adjournment

on to agenda Item Number 3, possible action, acceptance and approval of public works board meeting minutes for July 10th, 2019.

Is there a motion for acceptance and approval or any comments?

MEMBER TIBERTI: Member Tiberti, so approve.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Is there a second?

DIRECTOR CONTINE: Second.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: All those in favor? Any nays?

Hearing none, the meeting minutes are approved.

Agenda Item Number 4, resignation of board chairperson Bryce Clutts. No action needed.

MS. STEWART: No, there's no action. I just -- for the record, Susan Stewart. I informed Mr. Clutts that it should be included in the agenda since we were all going to get together, so -- and on a personal note I'll miss you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Thank you very much.


MS. STEWART: Yeah, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Well, Bryce Clutts for the record. Thank you for allowing me to serve, it was a
1 pleasure. Hopefully in those six years or so we were able to
2 make some difference. And I know that the board is in good
3 hands and I'm just a phone call away if you need anything.
4 So, okay.
5 Agenda Item --
6 DIRECTOR CONTINE: Can I ask for clarification?
7 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Yes, ma'am.
8 DIRECTOR CONTINE: You're appointed by the
9 speaker?
10 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Yes.
11 DIRECTOR CONTINE: Okay. So I can follow up.
12 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Moving on to agenda Item
13 Number 5, possible action, discussion and possible action on
14 the election of a chairperson and vice-chairperson.
15 MS. STEWART: For the record, Susan Stewart,
16 deputy attorney general, construction law counsel. According
17 to NAC 341.020, the term of office for chair and vice-chair is
18 two years. As such, it's necessary to elect a new chair and
19 vice-chair and we may be a little past the time for doing
20 that.
21 Typically we make nominations, accept nominations
22 and then elect each position separately.
23 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I've had conversations with
24 a couple folks and understand that there is a member
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| 1 Move on to agenda Item Number 6. Thank you,
2 Director.
3 (Motion carries.)
4 MS. STEWART: Woo hoo. Was that a railroad?
5 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Before somebody changes
6 their mind.
7 Agenda Item Number 6 for possible action,
8 contractor qualification process in the creation of a board
9 subcommittee or direction to staff to report and so forth.
10 MR. PATRICK: Excuse me, wouldn't the chairman
11 take this item?
12 MS. STEWART: No.
13 DIRECTOR CONTINE: Effective October 23rd.
15 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I'm more than happy to
16 change chairs.
17 MS. STEWART: We won't switch our meeting.
18 MR. PATRICK: Sorry about that.
19 MS. STEWART: Susan Stewart for the record. This
20 is an action item and this is pertaining to our contractor
21 qualification process. And the staff's request to the board
22 today is how you want to approach reviewing and revising our
23 qualification process.
24 So, we're presenting two alternatives. One

1 interested in chairperson and a member in of interested in
2 vice-chairperson.
3 Is there anybody down south interested in either
4 one of those positions?
5 MEMBER TIBERTI: Member Tiberti, not I.
6 MEMBER LEWIS: Kevin Lewis, no.
7 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I figured such or I may have
8 heard from you. So with that said, I would like to nominate,
9 if that's appropriate, Member Hand for chairperson and Member
10 Stewart to continue in his role as vice-chairperson. Is there
11 any questions or comments?
12 MEMBER TIBERTI: Member Tiberti, I find that very
13 appropriate and I think this is the correct move to do and I
14 support that.
15 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Okay. I'll entertain a
16 motion for approval.
17 MEMBER TIBERTI: Tito Tiberti for the record.
18 I'll make a motion to approve Adam Hand as what, chairman and
19 Mr. Stewart vice-chairman.
20 DIRECTOR CONTINE: Second.
21 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I don't --
22 DIRECTOR CONTINE: Did you want a second?
23 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: No. All those in favor say
24 aye. Hearing no nays.
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| 1 alternative is the board would direct staff to proceed with
2 what I'm going to discuss today and then report back to the
3 board, or if the board were so inclined, you could decide to
4 create a subcommittee, and a subcommittee could -- we could
5 have meetings.
6 The subcommittee would have no decision-making
7 authority, but then that subcommittee would work with staff
8 and then report back to the board.
9 The board, you know, knows that over the last
10 several months we've had some applications, some appeals that
11 have come before the board. Based upon a few OSHA violations
12 to an otherwise clearly qualified contractor, you know, for
13 example, our hearing this morning with Michels.
14 Michels is, you know, clearly qualified
15 corporation to do public works construction in the state of
16 Nevada. They have three fairly significant OSHA violations
17 and that was enough to disqualify them.
18 So we're looking at reviewing that process, how
19 that scored. The -- one of the other items that we need to
20 talk about is several years ago a statute was changed so that
21 public works perform contractor evaluations on contractors at
22 the conclusion of the project.
23 We've been doing that for about five years now.
24 The second piece of that now is to include that evaluation in
the scoring. So that needs to be addressed in our review of
the qualification process.
2. We're also going to look at how are we scoring
the OSHA, MSHA violations, should we even be looking at the
mining violations that are attributable to a company when
they're clearly applying to do construction public works and
not mining.
3. We're also -- should we add a new cost
qualification category now, you know, when we score those past
performance projects, you know, we have the spreadsheet and we
plug in that data.
4. Do we want to add a higher qualification amount
so that we're getting a more accurate picture of their past
performance and scoring accordingly.
5. Do we want to consider the safety index? Is
there a way for us to as Michels talked about this morning,
you know, they're a $3 billion company and they're a
nationwide company and they have 36 points in OSHA violations.
6. You know, how should that be normalized based upon the scale
of business they do?
7. We also need to fine tune our scoring of failed
projects. It's a little imprecise in my opinion, so that's
something else that we need to look at. We have some
inconsistencies in how we score bankruptcy. It's clear how we
score bankruptcy on our larger qualification application.
It's less clear on how that impacts our smaller qualification
applications.
8. Also, the application itself hasn't had a
thorough review in I would say maybe five years. So I think
it's time to look at the verbiage and make sure it's clear and
just kind of do an overall dusting off and updating on that.
9. It's -- the form that we use has to be approved
by the board. The scoring that we do needs to be approved by
the board.
10. So, you know, at the board's pleasure we will
proceed either, you know, with a subcommittee or just, you
know, we'll do it in house, staff will perform it. Report
back to the board.
11. You know, I anticipate we would do a lot of
brainstorming and present some ideas of where we're going to
make sure that we're headed in the correct direction, you
know, so I would anticipate at least a couple meetings where
this would be presented to the board.
12. So, that is -- that's what we're asking for is
really direction from the board. And you'll see it's, you
know, the -- the action item is a motion to create a board
subcommittee to review, analyze and recommend options for
addressing proposed action items with report to full board.
1. Or in the alternative, direct staff to review, analyze and
recommend options for addressing proposed action items with
report to the full board.
2. And so it's -- it's just, you know, it's directly
in the board's authority to have oversight of this. And so
Ward and -- or Administrator Patrick and I discussed it and
wanted to, you know, give you the option. We certainly
recognize that this is not your full-time job.
3. So, I'm happy to answer any questions and
Mr. Patrick may have something he wants to add as well.
4. MR. PATRICK: Administrator Patrick for the
record. So Kathy's been working the bidder qualification
program for a number of years here. Her predecessor had
developed a list of items that were under consideration.
5. So some of those are listed here, the predominant
ones that we thought would be more appropriate, like the
creating another cost category. And so there's a number of
items that we can review in addition to this list.
6. But we'd like to move on with this item and we
want to get the direction as to how to go about that today.
7. Thanks.
8. CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Bryce Clutts for the record.
9. Are there any questions or comments of the board?
10. MEMBER TIBERTI: I have a couple. One question,
maybe two. Member Tiberti. Susan, you say create a
subcommittee, in your mind what would that look like? Who or
how many people and how often?
11. MS. STEWART: Right. Susan Stewart for the
record. It does not need to be a quorum, but it does need to
be a public meeting. And it would not have any
decision-making authority beyond making a recommendation to
the board.
12. And who sits on that board is up to you. I would
envision perhaps three members. And I would say no more than
13. I think probably three meetings tops with the third meeting
being a presentation to the board. I think that may be
reasonable.
14. Does that answer your question?
15. MEMBER TIBERTI: Yes, exactly. The other thing I
wanted to make a comment in is I listened to you and I do
think that mining crossover is an issue and a problem. And I
don't have an answer for it, but I think that's a whole
different kettle of fish and to combine them is kind of
awkward to me.
16. And the other thing is the bankruptcy is always a
dicey issue one way or the other, you know, a three-man guy
company goes bankrupt and one reforms it, that's all
complicated as compared to a Morrison-Knudsen or Michels go
bankrupt, that's -- those are really two kettles of fish.

MS. STEWART: Um-hum.

MEMBER TIBERTI: So I think that's -- I appreciate your comments. And I -- I'm not -- I think the committee is -- I think you're right, it's not a -- but I just don't think it should be the staff and then perfunctory say take this or leave it type thing because I think that's the responsibility we have to the state of Nevada to try to make sure we're doing the right thing.

But I really do think this scoring is important and I think doing a relatively good job. And I do think that's why we have a board is because when you do have something -- this seems like overwhelmingly they should be doing business in the state of Nevada, this company, but the way it was scored it's not anybody's fault, it's just the way it came down. And I think that it was an appropriate decision today.

So I do think that kind of should be put on the board unless it's something that's really kingly that doesn't make any sense or couldn't understand. So everything you've said I think I agree with. And either one of those approaches would be fine with me, I don't speak for Kevin.

MEMBER LEWIS: Member Kevin Lewis. Sounds good to me.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Good answer, Kevin.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Bryce Clutts for the record. Does this subcommittee have to be a member of staff or the board or could it be somebody in the industry from the outside?

MS. STEWART: Susan Stewart for the record. It would be made up of -- the subcommittee would be board members. And of course we would do everything that we do now for a regular board meeting. We'd put together a packet. It would be a little bit more informal.

I would see more of a workshop type. We would -- the meeting is noticed and I would anticipate that members from the industry will attend. You know, that may be a reason to have a subcommittee to have the process be more open. I leave that to the board. That can kind of cut both ways sometimes.

I do see value in having members of the community participate. The last time we really worked on this was when we did the qualification application and how that would be scored. That is all in reg. And it was onerous, there was a -- and rightly so. There was a lot of attention to how we were going to score contractors. How that process would be "fair." The oversight that would be involved here at public works to ensure that the process was fair. There was a lot of interest, but, you know, as I said, rightly so.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Bryce Clutts. I asked, I would be willing to be on the committee and help, I just -- if I -- I don't know that I can.

So if that was possible, I would be willing to help having been there when we did it last time having watched it, if that would benefit the board, I would be happy to help, but that's up to you all.

MS. STEWART: Okay. Thank you. I think we can -- it's a subcommittee of this board, we can invite whoever we want to participate.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Okay. So we have two action items, the motion to create a subcommittee or in the alternative a motion directing the staff to review and provide a recommendation to the board.

MEMBER TIBERTI: Member Tiberti, I'll make a motion to create the subcommittee and I will personally talk to this Met Cab guy, I think he'll be able to drive over there so that will be a done deal.

I think it's a good idea to do it and I'll make that motion.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Is there a second?

MEMBER HAND: Second.

CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: All those in favor?
MEMBER HAND: Member Hand will volunteer.
MS. STEWART: All right.
DIRECTOR CONTINE: Member Tiberti say he wanted to be on the committee?
MS. STEWART: I thought I heard Member Tiberti say that he wanted to be on the subcommittee.
MEMBER TIBERTI: I don't want to, but I will participate if I -- you know, distance is the only thing that would bother me is the distance to get up there. And I'd like to do it. But I -- I think it's important and if I could contribute, I will do it.
MS. STEWART: All right. Well, we will -- as always, we do our best to accommodate your schedules. We can have a lot of flexibility. We could do this in the late afternoon, you know, certainly that would be an option. I would ideally like three board members. So should we --
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I would like to -- oh, I'm sorry.
DIRECTOR CONTINE: Go ahead, unless you have something else.
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I think we should reach out to Member Stewart, simply because he represents the contractor's association and probably at least see if there's an interest or what his role might be. Because I know his members have a lot of input --
MS. STEWART: Um-hum.
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: -- in this and it may -- may be worth getting at least his input whether he serves on the committee or not.
MS. STEWART: All right.
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I know Director --
DIRECTOR CONTINE: Well, I'll participate in however. I mean, I could be on the committee or I could just work with staff as well.
MS. STEWART: All right. That's great, you guys. Thank you. All right. So, the committee is former board chair Bryce Clutts, that's your new name, former board chair.
Member Hand, Member Tiberti, Director Contine and tentatively Member Stewart. And I'll personally reach out to him and invite him to be a member of the subcommittee. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: I would be remiss if I didn't volunteer him for one more thing before I left.
MEMBER TIBERTI: Member Tiberti, I have a question. Is Bryce Clutts still on the board or is your term up or are you just resigning from the board or just chairman?
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Resigning from the board effective tomorrow.
MEMBER TIBERTI: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Okay. Moving on to agenda Item Number 7, administrator's report. Mr. Patrick?
MR. PATRICK: Administrator Patrick for the record. We've got a few items on the list here. AE selection update. Per Nevada Revised Statute and administrative code requires a formal selection process where the fees for the projects are over $250,000 for the design and supervision of construction.
And we have 15 projects that were advertised in this category. Interviews have been completed and the applicants were informed of the results and we've been debriefing them as to the standings and the rankings. And it's under the administrator's authority that the selection takes place. And we're now issuing our fees to those selected and negotiating the contracts for those projects.
And so there's 15 projects in this category and I would stand for any questions on that.
The next item is major projects update. And so here's a project, this first one is the Northern Nevada Veterans Home. I might suggest that we do a tour of some of these Northern Nevada projects with the board maybe in the spring. So this project is substantially complete and some final permitting through the VA is being completed in the next week or so.
And so there's 32 occupants in the building now of patients, occupants. And we did an open house the other day with the governor and it's seen as a very successful project.
One of our philosophies here is to have a -- have projects that are exemplary, meaning others would prefer to model their projects after ours. And what we're seeing is many other states are asking for tours and trying to incorporate some of the concepts that are in our home here in Nevada into their future projects.
MS. STEWART: I think that's great.
MR. PATRICK: So that's a good thing that we should share, in the spring maybe we do a tour with the board.
Then another project that's under construction is the UNR Engineering Building, it's 60 percent complete. So that could be part of this tour as well.
And so that project's planned, it's about an $80 million project and it's planned to be completed in the fall. So substantial completion is planned for July.
Then we have a few other projects under construction. The south Reno DMV is approximately 50 percent complete. And so that's about a $40 million project.
We have the military project in the south near the speedway, the Readiness Center. That’s just under 50 percent complete, like 46 percent complete. And so we anticipate a substantial completion in early summer next year on that project. About a $32 million project. A couple projects coming out of the chute, in the 19 CIP we have a couple Nevada System of Higher Education projects. One is the College of Southern Nevada Health Sciences Building. We issued a notice to proceed this month, so it was just a week ago. Eight days ago on the 14th we issued the notice to proceed. And so that’s happening down in Henderson. And then we also have the -- another Henderson project that we’re planning a notice to proceed on, which is the Nevada State College Education Building. And so these two projects are CMAR projects, so we’ve gone through the bidding process with the subcontractors. The State has done -- the State here, the Public Works Division has done our due diligence on those projects. And we presented those contracts to the Board of Examiners, which is the final contracting approval authority for the State for these -- these types of projects. Low bid projects we have the authority to contract here in the Public Works Division. And CMAR projects and these professional service-type projects are contracts with the AEs, the architects and engineers are done at the Board of Examiners. So a couple southern projects that are in the planning phases. We’ve got the Sawyer Building remodel. And so that’s a challenging project for us. Always -- a remodel project you got to know -- figure out how deep you’re going to go into it. So we’ve done that architectural -- architect selection and we’re in the midst of negotiating a fee and developing a contract for that project. So that’s a project somewhere north of $65 million remodel of this massive building, which is 224,000 square feet main government center in Las Vegas. Additional project that has created its own sets of challenges is the UNLV Medical Education Building. So a couple key notes on that is the funding came in July of 2017 and our money is four-year money. And there’s been a change of -- kind of an annual change of direction on the project. So on initial completion it was envisioned to be a much larger building, say a $250 million building with over $200 million of donations. And one year later, it was seen as a library project for the medical support at that college. And -- and so that was seen as a $50 million project. And then the latest round is that this project may be a $125 million project. We talked about in the past that the Board of Regents has heard some request for debt from the NSHE, from Nevada System of Higher Education. And so the Public Works Division is currently on hold on this project with the idea that funding needs to be fully secured or there may be another change in direction. Then the final project is a project that was partially funded projects are a general challenge for us. And so here’s yet another one, the UNLV Engineering Building. It was estimated when it was brought to the board in August at approximately $80 million project. And the funding that was offered for the project was $40 million out of the legislature in a separate bill, SB528, I believe. And so we got half the money and the design is basically shovel ready, ready to bid for the full -- full project. And so we’re contemplating options right now on that, whether -- basically whether to wait for additional funding, maybe add a year's worth of costs for inflationary pressures. Certainly there would be agency impacts or proceed with the shell, which would cause design costs, various issues with coordinating multiple contracts on the same side. And then of course there would be additional costs associated with preserving a shell for the time period before new money would come. So those are kind of some issues on the major projects, but I just want to leave everybody -- I just want to leave everybody with the notion that we'd really look forward to showing some of the projects that are completed and ongoing in the north here, say possibly in the spring would be a good time to do a tour for the board. So be prepared to hear a little bit more about that. And then the third item on the list is staffing. And so we've had -- there's a lot of complexities in our types of work, we're doing more planning work in the current CIP and we're -- so our volume is increasing and we need to hire more staff. And we've received approval for that and I've given an update on that. And so we're -- we've hired a new architect in the north here, Adriana Benjamin has started just this week. We've been interviewing our inspectors to support these projects. We interviewed eight inspectors last Thursday. We had a dry spell of eight months where we couldn't get applicants that were appropriate for our
1 positions. And so we were really concerned that that would continue.
2 And then all of a sudden, you know, there's just this big slug of people that are qualified to do this work. So we're very hopeful that we'll get some good people out of that pool of interviews. You know, so it's -- it's -- there's a handshake agreement there where we extend the offer and they still want to come to work for us.
3 So we've interviewed the eight and it sounds like we're going to have some people to do this work. So we're pleased with that.
4 Regarding cost containment, we went -- I went over pretty extensively at the last board meeting all the things that we do for cost containment. And we're hoping to coordinate with other states and we're continuing to review software packages from the industry on bringing together our silos of information and make us more -- more integrated in the way we do our work here.
5 And so we've got commissioning efforts that we're working on that are going to create cost containment on our various projects that are undergoing construction. And our change order tracking systems are enabling us to learn, create lessons learned and provide containment.
6 That's a segue into the final item, which is the

---

1 MR. PATRICK: Well, the UNLV projects are -- there's the one project that we only receive half of the money, and that's the engineering building.
2 MEMBER TIBERTI: No, the two before that.
3 MR. PATRICK: So the two that are coming out of the chute is the CSN project which Core Construction is our contractor on that project. And then we've got the notice to proceed that's coming out here next month on the Nevada State College project is Burke Construction.
4 MEMBER TIBERTI: And then the architect that you selected on the Grant Sawyer Building?
5 MR. PATRICK: Yes. Thank you. KGA, formerly Kittrell Garlock & Associates was selected on that project.
6 In -- in kind of an urgent scenario we asked for funding from the legislature to do some preliminary planning on that project. And we directly select them due to their skills in programming and doing the remodeling.
7 Well, we took this project also to the formal selection process, and that trued out that the committee selected them as for the final design in addition to that programming and planning effort.
8 MS. STEWART: Oh, that's good to know.
9 MEMBER TIBERTI: Thank you.
10 MR. PATRICK: Okay. Thank you.

---

1 CIP tracking information technology system. And so we've been providing a lot of due diligence in this area, reviewing many different owner-type programs that would enable us to again reconcile our various silos of our information from qualification of bidders' information, our leasing services information, master planning information, the whole CIP planning process. We have all these various entities here.
2 Buildings and grounds in the actual maintenance of the buildings, try to integrate all this information such that the state is benefiting from the combined group of buildings and grounds, which was combined with public works in 2011 in the legislature.
3 And so we're continuing to try to work better and more efficiently as a team and we're hoping that will all come together with the tracking IT system. We're working hard to make that happen.
4 And that concludes my report.
5 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTTS: Thank you, Mr. Patrick. Are there any questions of Mr. Patrick's report?
6 MEMBER TIBERTI: Member Tiberti. Ward, just a question, who -- did you tell me -- I think it was UNLV, which building was it that you said you -- was there a contractor involved that you named on the university job? Was it the health science building, is that what it was?

---

1 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTTS: Thank you, Mr. Patrick.
2 Moving on to agenda Item Number 8. Board comment and discussion. Board comments on any agenda item, items to be included in future agendas, review of action items for State Public Works management and setting future meeting date if needed.
3 MS. STEWART: Mr. Chairman, if I might?
4 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTTS: Yes.
5 MS. STEWART: Susan Stewart for the record. A couple of items. I'm going to -- within the next couple days I'll reach out and start looking for some dates for getting a subcommittee moving.
6 The other thing is the tour in the spring, I'm going to -- we'll get an e-mail out. We would like the members from the south to travel up north. So we'll give you as much notice as possible and make sure that you've got that on your calendar ahead of time.
7 So, it seems kind of early, but I'd like to have you block off some time and we can get that on the calendar now since you're going to be traveling for that. And just those two items, that's what I wanted to address that.
8 MEMBER TIBERTI: If I may, last time I traveled with a board member, talking parallel, he rented the car, but he didn't have a credit card, so it's kind of a bait and
1 switch thing that happened kind of in my family, but also with
2 a member, so I'd like to share that and get enough advance
3 notice so I can get his car approved.
4 MS. STEWART: Tito, Member Tiberti will make sure
5 that's all taken care of ahead of time.
6 SPEAKER FROM THE AUDIENCE: Thank you.
7 MS. STEWART: And maybe you should consider a new
8 traveling partner.
9 MEMBER TIBERTI: I think I will.
10 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: We've already had that
11 conversation, Tito.
12 MEMBER TIBERTI: I'd like to make a further
13 comment that I really want to thank Bryce Clutts, he's done a
14 very good job and I wish he wasn't going.
15 CHAIRPERSON CLUTTS: Thank you, sir, I appreciate
16 that.
17 Moving on to agenda Item Number 9, public
18 comment. Is there any public comment? Hearing none, meeting
19 adjourned.
20 MEMBER TIBERTI: Thank you.
21 MS. STEWART: Thank you.
22 (Proceedings concluded at 9:50 a.m.)
23
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1 STATE OF NEVADA, )
2 CARSON CITY. )
3 )
4 I, Michel Loomis, Certified Court Reporter #228, do
5 hereby certify:
6 That on October 22, 2019, at 515 East Musser Street,
7 Suite 102, Carson City, Nevada, I was present and took
8 stenotype notes of the public hearing held before the Public
9 Utilities Commission of Nevada in the within-entitled matter,
10 and thereafter transcribed the same as herein appears;
11 That the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 30,
12 inclusive, is a full, true and correct transcription of my
13 stenotype notes of said hearing.
14 DATED at Carson City, Nevada, this 5th day of
15 November, 2019.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>willing</td>
<td>23rd</td>
<td>7:13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wish</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>4:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOOSON</td>
<td>341.020</td>
<td>5:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work</td>
<td>36 (1)</td>
<td>9:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>worked</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>working</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>4:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works</td>
<td>46 (1)</td>
<td>21:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>worth</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>5:13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>50 (2)</td>
<td>20:23;21:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>year</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>years</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>7:1,7,16:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>year's</td>
<td>60 (1)</td>
<td>20:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>19:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
<td>28:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9 (1)</td>
<td>29:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>9:00 (1)</td>
<td>3:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>9:50 (1)</td>
<td>29:22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224,000</td>
<td>22:13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22nd</td>
<td>3:6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>